



Monitoring Health Inequalities: Concepts & Measurement

September 24, 2008, Porto Alegre, Brasil

World Congress of Epidemiology

Paula Braveman, MD, MPH

Professor of Family & Community Medicine

Director, Center on Social Disparities in Health

University of California, San Francisco



Monitoring health inequalities: concepts & measurement are inseparable

- Present a definition of health inequalities that can guide measurement
- Discuss the rationale
- Address some difficult questions
 - Are all health differences “*health inequalities*”?
 - Can we call an inequality an inequity if its causes are unknown?
 - What about differences on which a generally disadvantaged group happens to do better?
 - Who is “disadvantaged”? Any group with worse health?
 - How to choose a reference group?

©2000 The New Yorker Collection from cartoonbank.com. All rights reserved.



“The poor are getting poorer, but with the rich getting richer it all averages out in the long run.”



What are “health inequalities”?

- Differences in health
 - What is wrong with differences? Don't we value diversity?
 - Differences, variations: descriptive terms
- But we really mean:
 - Health differences that are particularly unfair
 - Inequities vs inequalities
- Whitehead: health differences that are unnecessary, avoidable, and unjust
- But who decides what is avoidable? unjust?



CENTER on
SOCIAL
DISPARITIES
in HEALTH

Are all inequalities *unjust*?

- Younger adults are generally healthier than the elderly
- Female newborns have lower birth weights
- Male newborns have higher mortality at a given birth weight
- In many countries, most *public* medical care spending is for better-off groups
- In several countries, girls are more likely than boys
 - not to be immunized
 - to be malnourished
 - to die before age 5



CENTER on
SOCIAL
DISPARITIES
in HEALTH

Are all inequalities *unjust*?

- People who ski are more likely to have arm/leg fractures than those who don't
- Women often have obstetric problems; men don't
- In a large USA hospital, one ethnic group was less likely to receive pain Rx for fractures; not due to language barriers or patient preferences
- Less funding for research on common obstetric problems than on illnesses of middle-aged men



CENTER on
SOCIAL
DISPARITIES
in HEALTH

Are all inequalities *unjust*?

- In most countries, women live longer than men
- White women in USA have higher incidence of breast cancer than Black women
- At a given age, women have poorer health
- Black women in USA have higher mortality from breast cancer than do White women
- Black v. White infants have far higher rates of adverse birth outcomes—unknown causes



How to decide what is just or fair? Ethical principles

- Distributive justice
 - Need --not privilege-- should guide resource allocation for health
 - But it's challenging to define need
-



Human rights principles provide guidance

- Economic and social rights to: health, education, water, food, shelter, decent living standard, benefits of progress...
- The “right to health”: right to achieve the highest attainable level of health
 - How to operationalize this for measurement purposes?
- All rights are inter-connected & indivisible
- **Governments are obligated to progressively remove obstacles to realizing all rights**
 - particularly for those with more obstacles



Human rights principles: Non-discrimination

- Includes unconscious, institutional bias
- Obligation to achieve non-discrimination: to remove obstacles to realizing rights, particularly for those with more obstacles
 - Women, children, disabled, stigmatized (e.g.HIV+)
 - Racial/ethnic, religious, tribal, or national origin groups that have been marginalized, excluded, stigmatized
 - Those lacking basic rights (which also would imply the poor, marginalized)



How could human rights principles help clarify which differences are unjust?

- Disparities that systematically and adversely affect socially disadvantaged groups, i.e., groups that have experienced
 - Greater obstacles to realizing their rights
 - discrimination, marginalization (deliberate or not)
 - e.g., disadvantaged racial/ethnic groups, women, the poor, elderly/children, disabled, HIV+, sexual minorities
- Placing those already disadvantaged at further disadvantage with respect to their health
- Avoids defining need; avoids attributing causation/blame



Implications for measurement?

- An unjust inequality places a disadvantaged group at greater disadvantage on health
 - Greater breast cancer incidence among white women would *not* be an inequity (white women are more privileged)
 - Women's longer life expectancy would *not* be an inequity (men generally have more power & wealth)
 - Important public health issues *versus* equity issues
- Certainty about causes & avoidability are not essential
 - Adverse birth outcomes among Blacks *would* be an inequity, despite uncertainty about causes
 - biologically plausible that the causes are avoidable, given political will



Who to compare with whom?

- Groups with different levels of underlying social advantage/disadvantage, e.g.:
 - Racial/ethnic, religious, or tribal groups, castes
 - Socioeconomic groups
 - Groups by: gender, age, disability, sexual orientation, any stigma
- Health of the most socially advantaged indicates what should be possible for all, given political will
- *Not relevant to assess equity*: comparisons of
 - equally privileged/deprived groups, or
 - ungrouped individuals (*World Health Report 2000* approach)



The reference group

- The most socially privileged group in a social category (i.e., the one with the greatest power, wealth, prestige/social status) , e.g.,
 - Non-Hispanic Whites for racial/ethnic comparisons
 - Wealthiest individuals/households/neighborhoods for socioeconomic comparisons
- Indicates what should be possible for all groups
- Operationalizes “the right to health”: right of all social groups to attain the level of health attained by the most advantaged social group



Comparisons relevant to health equity: other proposals

- What is wrong with the following approaches, which have been proposed by major national/international health agencies?
 - comparing each group to the *average* rate?
 - comparing to the group with the *best* outcome?
 - comparing ungrouped individuals? (*World Health Report 2000*)
-



Political aspects of measurement

- If comparing to the healthiest group
 - Social justice is no longer explicit
 - Could skeptics say differences reflect underlying biological differences, hence not unfair?
- If the *World Health Report 2000* approach had prevailed:
 - Routine monitoring of health gaps between rich and poor, advantaged and disadvantaged ethnic groups would be even more distant goal
 - Resources for “equity” could be spent on improving outcomes for privileged groups



CENTER on
SOCIAL
DISPARITIES
in HEALTH

Defining “health inequalities”

- Systematic differences in health --or health determinants-- plausibly influenced by policies
- Between/among groups with different social positions (places in hierarchies according to power, wealth, prestige)
- Placing already socially disadvantaged groups at further disadvantage with respect to their health
- Do not need to attribute causation or definitively prove avoidability, but avoidability must be plausible



Monitoring health inequalities: concepts, measurement, & policy are inseparable

- Despite the best intentions, if we lack clarity about what we want to measure:
 - We may lack the information needed to document inequalities & guide policies toward greater equity
 - We may create an opening
 - for attention to be distracted from politically sensitive issues such as equitable resource allocation
 - for resources to be diverted from equity goals to other purposes



Concepts and measurement of health inequalities

- Measuring health inequalities is more than a technical matter
 - Based on values
 - Values are subjective but human rights concepts reflect global consensus
 - Avoiding normative aspects may seem expedient at times, but may endanger the foundation for efforts to achieve greater equity in health
-